#### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# The effect of tobacco leaf biopesticides on the development of bacterial leaf blight of rice

To cite this article: H Haryuni et al 2023 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1230 012094

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 36.68.11.145 on 20/09/2023 at 15:40

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

## The effect of tobacco leaf biopesticides on the development of bacterial leaf blight of rice

H Haryuni<sup>1</sup>, R Soelistijono<sup>1</sup>, S Priyadi<sup>1</sup>, I E Maryanti<sup>2</sup> and S Supartini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Tunas Pembangunan Surakarta, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Economic and Business, Universitas Tunas Pembangunan Surakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: haryuni@lecture.utp.ac.id

Abstract. The staple food of the Indonesian population is rice, the need for rice continues to increase following the increase in population, and one of the obstacles to increasing production is crop failure caused by rice leaf blight. Leaf blight is one of the important diseases which causes almost 70% yield loss. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of the concentration and interval of application of tobacco leaf biopesticide on the development of leaf blight in Ciherang rice variety. This study was designed using a factorial complete randomized design, the first factor was the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticide which consisted of 4 levels, namely 0 ml/l, 1 ml/l, 2 ml/l, and 3 ml/l. the second factor is the Giving Interval which consists of 3 levels. i. e. every 5 days, 10 days, and 15 days. Parameters of the development of rice bacterial leaf blight (BLB) that were observed were the number of grains per plant, percentage of attack and intensity of attack by leaf blight. The results showed that the highest number of grains per plant, the lowest attack percentage, and the lowest attack intensity were in the B3P1.

#### 1. Introduction

The staple and main income of the Indonesian people come from agriculture, especially from rice commodities. Based on BPS data, the standard area of rice fields in Indonesia from 2021 to 2022 has increased from 10.41 million ha to 10.61 million ha, with a production of 54.42 million tons of GKG to 55.67 million tonnes of GKG with consumption in 2021 of 31.36 million tonnes in 2022 rising to 32.07 million tonnes, Indonesia's rice imports have increased from 2000 to 2021 of 407,741.4 tonnes [1].

Rice of the Ciherang variety is a superior variety, which is irrigated lowland rice, released in 2000, has a production range of 5-8 tons/ha, higher grain weight, and fluffier taste so that it is preferred by consumers, resistant to pests, and diseases [2], tolerant to floods and grown by more than 45% of farmers in Indonesia [3].

Rice is very susceptible to pathogens and affects the quality and quantity of yields, among several diseases that greatly impair rice yields [4] is bacterial leaf blight (BLB) caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae strains III and IV are the most important rice diseases in the world [5], another term for crackle disease in rice [4], seed dispersal, fast spread, broad spectrum causing huge losses reaching around 30 - 70%, crop failure or loss [6] symptoms that cause grain rot [7], gray to white lesions along the leaf veins or vascular disease, vascular infection [4] through seeds, natural holes in plants, wounds develop in xylem vessels [8]. The population is difficult to control, has many pathotypes, and is dynamic so that it damages plant resistance [9].

Control of BLB is carried out at the beginning of growth before the formation of tillers, stopping it will result in a decrease in production, efforts to control organic farming are environmentally friendly

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

FSSAT-4		IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science	1230 (2023) 012094	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1230/1/012094

by utilizing plants that have the potential as antipathogens [10]. Some plant species have toxic properties, can control plant pathogens, are easy to decompose and are safe for a long time, however, it takes longer to kill pathogens than using chemicals [11]. Types of plants that can be used as vegetable pesticides include lemongrass, soursop, tobacco, and so on, these plants have bioactive compounds that act as repellents, deterrents and attracting pests and diseases [12]. Several researchers tested tobacco leaf biopesticide for controlling plant pests *Heliothis virescens* [13] *Myzus persicae*. Sulz [14], *Hypothenemus hampei* [15]. Tests for BLB on the Ciherang rice variety have never been carried out. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of the concentration and interval of application of tobacco leaf biopesticide on the development of leaf blight in Ciherang rice variety.

#### 2. Material and methods

The study was conducted from May to September 2020. The experiment in Kwangsang village. Jumapolo, Karanganyar, Central of Java. Laboratory analysis was carried out at the Plant Agroteknology Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Tunas Pembangunan Surakarta (UTP).

The tobacco leaf biopesticide used Sentosa method [16], produced from research group between Universitas Indonesia and PT. Zekindo, The Rice Seed (*Oryza sativa*) used in the Ciherang variety by the Food Crop Seed Certification Supervision Agency. The Ciherang variety rice seeds were soaked for 12 hours then drained then waited for 2 days until shoots appeared, after 14 days after planting then the seeds were sown. A week before planting, tillage is carried out, consisting of two stages, namely turning the soil over and leveling the land [17].

This study was designed using a factorial complete randomized design, the first factor was the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticide (B) which consisted of 4 levels, namely 0 ml/l, 1 ml/l, 2 ml/l, and 3 ml/l. the second factor is the giving Interval (P) which consists of 3 levels. i. e. every 5 days, 10 days, and 15 days. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and if they were significantly different, they were further tested with DMRT with a 95% confidence level [18].

#### 3. Result and discussion

Observations of the effect of the average concentration and interval application of tobacco leaf biopesticide on Ciherang variety rice were analyzed by Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) at 5% level presented in Table 1.

Table 1 on the observation of the number of grains of rice per plant showed a significant difference between B0 and B1, B2, B3, the highest number of grains per plant in the B3 treatment was significantly different from B0, B1 and B2. the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticide affects the number of grains per plant, info for help [17] tobacco leaf biopesticide contains 33.08% nicotine. Nicotine is produced from tobacco roots as a secondary metabolite which is excreted through root exudation in the rhizosphere area thereby increasing the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium [19] zinc, and iron [20]. In addition to the levels of these elements, tobacco plants also produce gibberellin hormones found in rice plants. Gibberellin plays a role in synthesizing the enzyme a-amylase which stimulates starch hydrolysis and increases cell elongation which in turn affects the number of grains per plant [21].

Observation of tillers per clump did not differ significantly between treatments indicating that the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticide had not had an effect, possibly the microclimate that caused it was not significantly different [22], research on the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticide still needs to be continued to obtain more tangible results.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

	Parameters			
Treatment	Number of	Tillers per	Attack	Attack
110000000	grains per	clump (stem)	percentage	intencity
	plant (grain)		(%)	(%)
Concentration	n of biopesticide			
B0	627.04 <sup>a</sup>	11.16	16.53 <sup>b</sup>	16.88 <sup>b</sup>
B1	780.92 <sup>b</sup>	10.63	10.36 <sup>a</sup>	10.31 <sup>a</sup>
B2	888.02 <sup>b</sup>	11.01	9.08 <sup>a</sup>	9.01 <sup>a</sup>
B3	976.44 <sup>d</sup>	10.66	7.66 <sup>a</sup>	8.31 ª

**Table 1**. The effect of the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticides on the number of grains per plant, tillers per clump, attack percentage, and attack intensity.

1230 (2023) 012094

Note: Numbers followed by the same letter show no significant difference in the Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) 5% level.

Description: B0= tobacco leaf biopesticide 0 ml /L, M1= tobacco leaf biopesticide1 ml /L, M2= tobacco leaf biopesticide2 ml /L, M3= tobacco leaf biopesticide3 ml /L.

The percentage and intensity of BLB attacks (table 1) showed that B0 was significantly different from B1, B2, and B3. The highest attack without tobacco leaf biopesticides (B0). Increasing the concentration of tobacco leaf biopesticides reduced the percentage of BLB attacks. Tobacco leaf biopesticide contains methanol compounds which act as antibacterial [23]. It is supported by the research of [24] which states that methanol content with a concentration of 25 mg/ml acts as an antimicrobial against 6 tested bacterial isolates.

	Parameters			
Treatment	Number of rice grains per plant (grain)	Tillers per clump (stem)	Attack percentage (%)	Attack intensity (%)
Interval of to	bacco leaf biopes	ticide		
P1	889.68 <sup>b</sup>	11.00	11.36 <sup>b</sup>	10.47 <sup>a</sup>
P2	799.41 <sup>b</sup>	10.53	10.97 <sup>a</sup>	11.27 <sup>b</sup>
P3	765.23 <sup>a</sup>	11.06	11.36 <sup>b</sup>	11.73 <sup>b</sup>

**Table 2**. The effect of interval of tobacco leaf biopesticide on the number of grains per plant, tiller per clump, attack percentage, and attack intensity.

Note: Numbers followed by the same letter show no significant difference in the Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) 5% level.

Description: P1= every5 days, P2= every10 days, P3= every15 days.

Table 2 shows that the intervals for administering tobacco leaf biopesticides P1 and P2 were significantly different from P3. At intervals of 15 days the number of grains decreased because the gibberellin hormone produced by tobacco leaf biopesticides was not sufficient for its needs so longer intervals reduced the number of grains while observing tillers per clump at intervals did not give a significant effect.

Observation of attack percentage and intensity of BLB attack on Ciherang variety showed a significant difference 5 days interval (P1) was lower than P2 and P3. This is because the content of methanol and phenolic compounds is sufficient to suppress the development of BLB [25].

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science	1230 (2023)
---	-------------

230 (2023) 012094

/			

	Parameters			
Treatment	Number of grains per plant (grain)	Tillers per clump (stem)	Attack percentage (%)	Attack intencity (%)
	he concentration	n and interval of	tobacco leaf	
biopesticides				
B0P1	647.27 <sup>a</sup>	10.63	17.17 <sup> i</sup>	17.07 <sup>e</sup>
B1P1	911.37 <sup>cd</sup>	11.30	9.50 <sup>def</sup>	9.47 <sup>bc</sup>
B2P1	994.50 <sup>de</sup>	11.20	8.30 <sup>bc</sup>	8.40 <sup>b</sup>
B3P1	1,005.60 <sup>e</sup>	10.87	6.60 <sup>a</sup>	6.93 <sup>a</sup>
B0P2	591.73 <sup>a</sup>	10.87	16.80 <sup> i</sup>	17.07 <sup>e</sup>
B1P2	767.23 <sup>bc</sup>	10.97	$10.30^{\text{ fg}}$	10.23 <sup>cd</sup>
B2P2	852.60 bc	9.93	9.07 <sup>cde</sup>	9.40 <sup>bc</sup>
B3P2	986.07 de	10.63	15.63 <sup> h</sup>	8.40 <sup>b</sup>
B0P3	642.13 <sup>a</sup>	10.60	11.27 <sup>g</sup>	16.50 e
B1P3	664.17 <sup>a</sup>	11.87	11.27 <sup>h</sup>	11.23 <sup>d</sup>
B2P3	816.97 <sup>b</sup>	10.67	9.87 <sup>ef</sup>	10.13 <sup>cd</sup>
B3P3	937.67 <sup>cde</sup>	11.20	8.67 bcd	9.08 <sup>bc</sup>

**Table 3**. The effect interaction of the concentration and interval of tobacco leaf biopesticides on the number of grains per plant, tillers per clump, attack percentage, and attack intensity.

Note: Numbers followed by the same letter show no significant difference in the Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) 5% level.

Description: B0= tobacco leaf biopesticide0 ml /L, B1= tobacco leaf biopesticide1ml /L, B2= tobacco leaf biopesticide2 ml /L, B3= tobacco leaf biopesticide3 ml /L. P1= every5 days, P2= every10 days, P3= every15 days.

Table 3 shows that the highest number of seeds planted was in the B3P1 treatment, the highest tillers per clump were B1P3, while the lowest attack percentage and attack intensity were B3P1. Tobacco leaf biopesticides stimulate the formation of gibberellin which plays a role in the process of plant photosynthesis and then facilitates rapid cell division [26]. Increasing the concentration and increasing the time interval every 15 days of tobacco leaf biopesticides were not significantly different, the best conditions were achieved at high concentrations and intervals every 5 days were able to reduce the percentage and intensity of attacks.

Tobacco leaf biopesticide has antimicrobial activity as an antibacterial [20,27] antifungal [20,25]. The highest biopesticide content in tobacco leaves is nicotine and several N-containing compounds or groups such as 3-(1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinyl)-pyridine which play a role in increasing nutrient absorption in vanilla [19] and corn plants [20]. Nitrogen for plants is an important component of protein, chlorophyll and genetic material so that it has a direct effect on growth, reproduction and inhibits the formation of pathogenic chitin *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp vanilla [20].

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the results of data analysis using the Anova test and Duncan's 5% follow-up test, the effect of the concentration and interval of tobacco leaf biopesticide on the Ciherang rice variety had no significant effect on tillers per clumps but had a significant effect on the number of grains per plant, the percentage of BLB attacks and the intensity of BLB attacks. The treatment that showed the highest number of grains (1,005.60 grains, the lowest percentage of attack was 8.60%, and the lowest intensity of attack was 6.93% in the combination treatment of 3ml/l of tobacco leaf biopesticide concentration and spraying interval every 5 days (B3P1).

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1230/1/012094

### References

- [1] BPS, 2022. Luas Panen dan Produksi padi di Indonesia. https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2022/10/17/1910/pada-2022/ accessed 12/25/2022.
- [2] Anonymous. 2019. Agricultural Research and Development Agency. Agricultural Research and Development Agency. http://www.litbang.pertanian.go.id/varietas/130/ accessed December 25, 2022.
- [3] Nugrahaa Y, Hidayatun N, Trisnaningsih, Yuliani D, Ardiyanti S and Kadir T S. 2017. Isolasi, Identifikasi, Uji Aktivitas Senyawa Flavonoid sebagai Antibakteri dari Daun Mangga. Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science 18 7–1
- [4] Sharma Y, Dua D and Nagar A, Srivastava NS. 2016. Antibacterial Activity, Phytochemical Screening And Antioxidant Activity of Stem of *Nicotiana tabacum*. Int J Pharm Sci Res 7 1156– 67
- [5] Zhang H and Wang, S. 2013. Rice versus *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*: a unique pathosystem. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **16** 188–95
- [6] Weny I Safni, Lisnawita and Lubis K .2019. Screening for disease resistance in rice varieties against bacterial panicle blight disease (*Burkholderia glumae*) in Northern Sumatra of Indonesia. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 260 012118
- Joko T, Kristamtini, Sumarno, and Andriyanto R. 2019. The Resistance of Local Pigmented Rice Varieties against Bacterial Leaf Blight. *Jurnal Perlindungan Tanaman Indonesia*. 23 205-210
- [8] Zhao, Y., Qian, G., Fan, J., Yin, F., Zhou, Y., Liu, C., Shen, Q., Hu, B., & Liu, F. 2012. Identification and characterization of a novel gene, hshB, in *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* co-regulated by quorum sensing and clp. *Phytopathology*, **102** 252–259
- [9] Sudir, Nuryanto B and Kadir T S. 2012. Composition and Distribution of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae Pathotypes, The Pathogen of Rice Bacterial Leaf Blight in Indonesia. Iptek Tanaman Pangan 7 79–87
- [10] Sati S C and Joshi S, 2011. Aspects of Antifungal Potential of Ethnobotanically Known Medicinal Plants. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plants*, **5** 377-391
- [11] Yellareddygari s, Vijay K, Kumar K, Sudini H, Reddy C, Sayyed R, Madhuri K, Suresh K, Reddy B, Hemalatha S, Al-Turki A, El Meleigi M, Han Y, Sruthi V and Reddy M. 2014. Evaluation of Biopesticides for Control of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *pelargonni* In Geranium Seedlings Under Greenhouse Conditions. pp 445–449 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274068381.
- [12] Wuryantini S, Harwanto and R A Yudistira. 2020. The toxicity of the extract of tobacco leaf Nicotiana tabacum L, marigold leaf Tithonia diversifolia (HAMSLEY) and citrus japansche citroen peel Citrus limonia against citrus psyllid (Diaphorina citri Kuwayama), the vector of citrus HLB disease. IOP Conf. Ser: Earth Environ. Sci 457 012-039
- [13] Viteri D M, Sarmiento L, Linares A M and Cabrera I 2019 Efficacy of biological control agents, synthetic insecticides, and their combinations to control tobacco budworm [*Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)] in pigeon pea Crop Prot. 122 175–9
- [14] Khan I A, Hussain S, Akbar R, Saeed M, Farid A, Ali I, Alam M, Shah B. 2015. Efficacy of a biopesticide and synthetic pesticides against tobacco aphid, *Myzus persicae* Sulz. (Homoptera, Aphididae on tobacco in Peshawar. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 4 371
- [15] H. Haryuni, T. S. K. Dewi, E. Dewi, S. F. Rahman, and M. Gozan. 2019. The Effect of Beauveria bassiana on The Effectiveness of Nicotiana tabacum Extract as Biopesticide Against Hypothenemus hampei to Robusta Coffee. International Journal of Technology, 10 159–66
- [16] Sentosa Y, H N Andjani, K Yati, M Jufri, Haryuni and M Gozan 2019 Determination of LC50 Value of Nicotiana tabacum L. Extract Against Tenebrio molitor and Zophobas morio Larvae in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2193 030021
- [17] Kartahadimaja J, Wentasari R, and Sesanti R N 2010. Pertumbuhan dan Produksi Polong Segar Edamame Varietas Rioko pada Empat Jenis Pupuk. Agrovigor 3 131

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1230/1/012094

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1230 (2023) 012094

- [18] Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1995. Prosedur Statistika untuk Penelitian Pertanian Edisi Kedua (Endang Sjamsuddin & Justika S. Bahrsjah. Terjemahan). Jakarta: UI Press. (in Indonesia)
- [19] Haryuni, H., Harahap, A. F. P., Supartini, Priyat mojo, A., & Gozan, M. The Effects of Biopesticide and *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. vanillae on the Nutrient Content of Binucleate Rhizoctonia-Induced Vanilla Plant. *International Journal of Agronomy*. 2000 1–6
- [20] Lisuma J B, Mbega E R and Ndakidemi P A. 2019 Influence of nicotine released in soils to the growth of subsequent maize crop, soil bacteria and fungi. *International Journal of Agriculture* and Biology 22 1–12
- [21] Utama R C and Sugiyatna 2016 Pengaruh Aplikasi Giberelin Pada Padi Sawah (*Oryza Sativa* L.) Varietas Hibrida (Hipa Jatim 2) dan Varietas Unggul Baru (Ciherang) Bul Agrohorti, **4** 56-62
- [22] Sumardi D, Bahariawan M, Maulani R R, Suhandono S, Novia C, Harahap A F E and Gozan. 2021. The Effect of Concentration of Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) Extract on Growth Parameters of Rice (*Oryza sativa*) Inpari-32. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 940 012026
- [23] Singh K P, Daboriya V, Kumar S, Singh S. Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Investigations on Nicotiana plumbaginifolia Viv. (Wild Tobacco). Rom. J. Biol. – Plant Biol. 55 135–142
- [24] Akinpelu, D. A., & Obuotor, E. M. (2000). Antibacterial activity of Nicotiana tabacum leaves. *Fitoterapia*, **71** 199–200
- [25] Duan, C. B., Du, Y., Hou, X., Yan, N., Dong, W., Mao, X., & Zhang, Z. 2016. Chemical Basis of the Fungicidal Activity of Tobacco Extracts against Valsa mali. Molecules (Basel, Switzerland), 21 1743
- [26] Mona M A 2013. The potential of Moringa oleifera extract as a biostimulant in enhancing the growth, biochemical and hormonal contents in rocket (*Eruca vesicaria* subsp. sativa) plants. *Int. J. Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 5 42–49
- [27] Ameya G, Manilal A, Merdekios B. 2017. In vitro Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. Extracted in Different Organic Solvents. *Open Microbiol J*. 11 352–9