

EFFICIENCY OF PRODUCTION FACTORS USED IN CARROT (*Daucus carota*) FARMING

Submission date: 27-Mar-2023 01:44PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2047799108

File name: 61785-170022-1-SM.docx (56.66K)

Word count: 3009

Character count: 16325

33 agribusiness approach that will create advanced, efficient, and resilient agriculture³. The
34 development of the agricultural sector includes various sub-sectors, including the sub-sectors of
35 horticultural crops, food crops, fisheries, livestock, plantations, and forestry⁴.

36 Horticulture is a commodity that has an important role in the agricultural sector, both in the
37 contribution to the national economy, farmers' income, employment, and various aspects of
38 community life. In addition, there are several benefits of horticultural commodities in people's
39 lives, including food, benefits in the field of culture, benefits in the health sector and economic
40 field. Carrot (*Daucus carota*) comes from a temperate region, namely East Asia and Central
41 Asia. In Indonesia, carrot cultivation was initially concentrated in Lembang and Cipanas, West
42 Java, and then spread to vegetable centres in Java and outside Java. As a result, the national
43 carrot harvest area reaches 27,149 ha spread over 22 provinces.

44 The carrot is a biennial tuber vegetable (a plant that lives in two seasons) in the form of a shrub.
45 This type of vegetable is easy to find in various places and can be grown throughout the year,
46 both rainy and dry. Carrots have short stems that are barely visible. The roots are taproots that
47 change shape and function to become round and elongated. Carrot plants can grow optimally in
48 areas with cold temperatures or in the mountains with an altitude of about 1200 meters above sea
49 level. Carrots have wet leaf stems in the form of a bunch of midribs on the petiole that emerges
50 from the base of the upper tuber, which is similar to celery leaves⁵.

51 ⁸ Efficiency is related to the relationship between the output in the form of goods or services
52 produced and the resources used to produce the output⁶. Meanwhile, Raharjo⁷ suggests that
53 efficiency is a condition or condition where completing a job is carried out correctly and with
54 full capabilities. Mathematically, efficiency is a comparison between output and input or, in
55 other terms, output per unit of input.

56 Carrot production in Boyolali Regency fluctuates every year. So that makes farmers a little
57 confused with the price of carrots in the market. When the main harvest, carrots can reach the
58 price of Rp. 2,000.00 per kg, but in the dry season, the price of carrots reaches Rp. 9.000,00 per
59 kg. Along with changes in land area, which increases, the weather conditions are ⁷ not stable.
60 There is an increase in demand for other commodities such as chilies, tomatoes, etc.⁸. This study
61 aimed to determine the number of production costs, revenues, and income obtained from carrot
62 farming.¹² Knowing what production factors affect the production of carrots. And knowing the
63 level of efficiency of the use of production factors in carrot farming.

64

65 MATERIAL AND METHODS

66 ¹ The location of this research was determined purposively in the Argo Ayuningtani farmer group,
67 Senden Village, Selo District, Boyolali Regency. This area was determined as a research area
68 because the average productivity of carrots in the Argo Ayuningtani farmer group has not yet

69 reached the average productivity of carrots in the Boyolali Regency. The average productivity of
70 carrots in Boyolali Regency is 15.98 tons/ha, while the productivity of carrots in the Argo
71 Ayuningtani farmer group is 12.29 tons/ha⁹. In addition, the Argo Ayuningtani farmer group is a
72 producer of organic vegetables. Therefore, the quality of carrots in the Argo Ayuningtani farmer
73 group is of superior quality because of the good quality and quantity of carrots. This research
74 was conducted in December 2020.

75 The analytical method used is the descriptive analysis method. The Cobb-Douglas production
76 function is used to explain the relationship between production and the factors of production that
77 influence it. The production factors that are thought to affect the amount of carrot production (Y)
78 are the amount of land area (X1), the number of workers (X2), the number of seeds (X3), The
79 amount of organic fertilizer (X4) and the amount of fertilizer Za (X5). Cobb-Douglas function
80 equation:

$$81 \quad Y = b_0 \cdot X_1^{b_1} \cdot X_2^{b_2} \cdot X_3^{b_3} \cdot X_4^{b_4} \cdot X_5^{b_5} \cdot E$$

82 note :

83 Y = Carrot Production (Kg)

84 b₀ = intercept

85 X₁ = Land area (M²)

86 X₂ = Labor (HKO)

87 X₃ = Seed (Kg)

88 X₄ = Organic fertilizer (Kg)

89 X₅ = Fertilizer Za (Kg)

90 b₁-b₅ = Regression coefficient

91 e = Error

92 Production factor efficiency analysis uses the formula for calculating price efficiency based on
93 the use of the Cobb-Douglas production function technique¹⁰. The formula can be written as
94 follows:

$$95 \quad b_i \cdot Y \cdot P_y / X_i = P_x$$

96 or

$$97 \quad NPM_{xi} = P_{xi}$$

98 or

99 $NPM_{xi}/P_{xi} = 1$

100 Information :

101 B_i = i-th production factor (input) regression coefficient

102 Y = production (output)

103 P_y = unit price of output

104 X_i = use of the i-th average factor of production (input)

105 P_{xi} = unit price of the i-th input

106 With the calculation criteria, if $NPM_x/P_x < 1$, then production factors (inputs) are not efficient. That is, the use of production factors (inputs) needs to be reduced, and if $NPM_{xi}/P_{xi} = 1$: The use of production factors (inputs) is efficient, that the use of production factors (inputs) has reached the optimal combination¹¹.

110

111 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

112

113 A. Analysis of the production function of carrot farming

114 Production function analysis explains the relationship between production and production factors used in carrot farming¹². The production factors in question are land area, labour, seeds, manure, and Za fertilizer. The model for estimating the carrot production function is a Cobb-Douglas function where this function is multiple non-linear regression so that to perform multiple linear regression analysis, it must be converted into a linear equation. For this reason, the existing equations must be logarithmic into a multiple linear regression model, namely as follows:

$$120 \quad \ln Y = 7.6746 + 6.5497 \ln X_1 + 3.9296 \ln X_2 - 1.4630 \ln X_3 + 3.1760 \ln X_4 + 5.3826 \ln X_5$$

121 The value of the coefficient of determination is a measure that shows the contribution of the independent variable to the dependent variable. In other words, the coefficient of determination shows the variation of the Y derivative, which is explained by the linear influence of X. If the value of the coefficient of determination given the symbol R^2 is close to 1, the independent variable gets bigger. Approach the relationship with the independent variable so that it can be said that the use of the model can be justified¹³. The coefficient of determination (R^2) can be seen in the Model Summary table in the Adjusted R square column, which is presented in table 1. adjusted R square 0.484 means that the change in carrot production can be explained by 48.4% by factors of production of land area, labour, seeds, organic fertilizers, Z-fertilizers while other factors outside this research model explain the remaining 51.6%.

131 The simultaneous F test shows (table 1.) whether all independent variables or ¹⁰ independent
132 variables included in the model have a joint effect on the dependent variable. The F test is done
133 by comparing the calculated F results with the F table, so we accept the alternative hypothesis,
134 which states that all independent variables simultaneously and significantly affect the
135 independent variables. The calculated F value of 0.011 is smaller than 5% so ¹² all factors of
136 Za fertilizer (X1), organic fertilizer (X2), seeds (X3), land area (X4), and labor (X5)
137 simultaneously have a very significant effect on production.

²
138 A partial test or t-test aims to determine the effect of production factors (X) separately (partial)
139 on carrot production (Y). If the significance level of p-value $< 1\%$, the production factors used in
140 the analysis have a very significant effect on carrot production (Y)^{14,15}. If the p-value is $> 1\%$ and
141 $< 5\%$, then the production factors used in the analysis significantly affect carrot production (Y).
142 However, if the p-value $> 5\%$, the production factors used in the analysis have no significant
143 effect on carrot production (Y). Find out the value of the ⁶ t-count can be seen in column t, to
144 know the level of significance in column Sig, and to know the value of the regression coefficient
145 can be seen in table 2. The results of the t-test analysis showed that the land area ⁵ factor had a t-
146 count value (-0.918) with a significant value based on $0.369 > 0.05$, meaning that land area had
147 no significant effect on production. The regression coefficient value is negative 0.550, meaning
148 that for every 5% increase in land area used, production decreases by 0.550%. Assuming other
149 variables are considered constant (*ceteris paribus*). The results of the t-test analysis show that the
150 labour factor has a t-count value (2.207) with a significance based on $0.039 < 0.05$, meaning that
151 labour has a very significant effect on production. The regression coefficient value is positive at
152 1.481, meaning that for every 5% increase in labour used, production increases by 1.481%.
153 Assuming other variables are considered constant (*ceteris paribus*). The results of the t-test
154 analysis showed that the seed factor had a significant t-count (0.440) based on $0.664 > 0.05$,
155 meaning that the seed had no significant effect on production. The regression coefficient value is
156 positive at 0.119, meaning that for every 5% increase in seeds used, the production increases by
157 0.119%. Assuming other variables are considered constant (*ceteris paribus*). The results of the t-
158 test analysis showed that the organic fertilizer factor had a significant t-value (1.280) based on
159 $0.214 > 0.05$, meaning that organic fertilizer had no significant effect on production. The
160 regression coefficient value is positive at 0.316, meaning that for every 5% increase in organic
161 fertilizer used, the production increases by 0.316%. Assuming other variables are considered
162 constant (*ceteris paribus*). The results of the t-test analysis showed that the Za fertilizer factor
163 had a t-count value (-1.180) with a significance of $0.284 > 0.05$, meaning that the Za fertilizer
164 had no significant effect on production. The regression coefficient value is negative 0.372,
165 meaning that for every 5% increase in Za fertilizer used, the production decreases by 0.372%.
166 Assuming other variables are considered constant (*ceteris paribus*).

² 167 B. Analysis of the efficiency of using the production factors of carrot farming

168 According to table 3, it is found that the efficiency of land use (X1) has a value of -0.014 which
169 means the value is less than one. This means that land area production factors are inefficient and

170 need to be reduced¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The efficiency of the use of labour (X2) has a value of 4.68 which means
171 the value is more than one. This means that labour production factors are not efficient and need
172 to be added. The efficiency of the use of seeds (X3) has a value of 12.39 which means the value
173 is more than one. This means that the use of seed production factors is not efficient and needs to
174 be added¹⁹. The efficiency of using organic fertilizer (X4) is 3.61, which means the value is more
175 than one. This means that organic fertilizer production factors are not efficient and need to be
176 added. Finally, the efficiency of using Za fertilizer (X5) has a value of -20.32 which means the
177 value is less than one. This means that production factors for Za fertilizer are inefficient and need
178 to be reduced²⁰.

179

180 CONCLUSION

181 Based on the research results of carrot farming in the Argoayuningtani Farmer Group in Senden
182 Village, Selo District, Boyolali Regency in 2020 it can be concluded that the production factors
183 in the form of land area (X1) the regression coefficient value of -0.550 has no significant effect,
184 labor (X2) coefficient value regression coefficient of 1.481 has a very significant effect, seed
185 (X3) regression coefficient value of 0.119 has no significant effect, organic fertilizer (X4)
186 regression coefficient value of 0.316 has no significant effect, and fertilizer Za (X5) regression
187 coefficient value of -0.372 has no significant effect. The use of production factors in carrot
188 farming has not yet reached the maximum efficient level. Factors that are not efficient are land
189 area (X1), labor (X2), seeds (X3), organic fertilizer (X4), and Za fertilizer (X5). The use of
190 carrot production factors needs to be optimized by referring to the existing dosage standards.

191 References

- 192 1. Suswadi, Prasetyo A, Dwi Kartikasari R, Prasetyowati K. Internal Control System
193 Adoption Rate for Organic Rice Certification. E3S Web Conf [Internet]. 2021;316.
194 Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202131602008>
- 195 2. Zanetti Pessôa Candioto L. Chapter 13 - Toward the organic product certification:
196 Participatory Guarantee System (PGS) in the certification process and the contribution of
197 Ecovida Agroecology Network. In: Meena VS, Meena SK, Rakshit A, Stanley J,
198 Srinivasarao CBT-A in OF, editors. Woodhead Publishing; 2021. p. 209–22. Available
199 from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128223581000134>
- 200 3. Fitriani FS, Dayat D, Widyastuti N. Pemberdayaan Petani Terhadap Pengaplikasian Pupuk
201 Organik Cair Mol Dari Limbah Sayur Pada Budidaya Wortel (*Daucus Carota L*). Jurnal
202 Inovasi Penelitian. 2020;1(3):241–52.
- 203 4. Winarsih S, Wachid M, Saati EA. Karakteristik Kimia Tepung Wortel dan Stick Wortel
204 Hasil Kreasi Pengolahan Berbasis Wortel oleh Kelompok Pkk Desa Tawang Sari. 2017;
- 205 5. Destiamayu W. FAKTOR-FAKTOR PENDORONG KECEPATAN ADOPTSI INOVASI
206 BUDIDAYA WORTEL SIBATA DI KELOMPOK TANI KATATA. 2017.
- 207 6. Junaidi M, Hindarti S, Khoiriyah N. Efisiensi Dan Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi

- 208 Produksi Bawang Merah A . Tempat dan Waktu Penelitian B . Metode Pengambilan
209 Sampel. *Seagri*. 2020;8(2):69–82.
- 210 7. Yulihastin C, Yudhoyono SB, Yudhoyono A, Young TJ, Yazgan ME, Yilmazkuday H, et
211 al. *Basic Econometrics*. The American Economic Review. 2011;
- 212 8. Lucier G, Lin B-H. Factors affecting carrot consumption in the United States. USDA,
213 Economic Research Service; 2007.
- 214 9. Salisu BA, Jokthan GE, HASSAN MR, ADAMU HY, YASHIM SM, Ikani E. Effects of
215 inclusion levels of carrot (*Daucus carota*) leaf meal on performance of growing rabbits.
216 *World Journal of Life Sciences and Medical Research*. 2012;2(2):65.
- 217 10. de Lima JSS, Neto FB, Gomes EG, de Negreiros MZ, Pontes FST, De Medeiros MA, et
218 al. Agroeconomic evaluation of intercropping rocket and carrot by uni-and multivariate
219 analyses in a semi-arid region of Brazil. *Ecological indicators*. 2014;41:109–14.
- 220 11. Hasanah PN, Mahananto, Prasetyo A. EFISIENSI PENGGUNAAN FAKTOR-FAKTOR
221 PRODUKSI PADA (Studi Kasus di Kelompok Tani Prawoto Sari , Desa Munding ,
222 Kecamatan Bergas , Kabupaten Semarang). *Jurnal Ilmiah Agrineca*. 2020;20(2):77–87.
- 223 12. Vieira M do C, Zárate NAH, Gomes HE. The Peruvian carrot and lettuce yield and
224 income in monocropping and intercropping systems, using nitrogen fertilization and
225 chicken manure in cover. *Acta Scientiarum-Agronomy*. 2003;25(1):201–8.
- 226 13. Afifah AN, Marwanti S. Food security analysis based on the proportion of food
227 expenditure and energy consumption of carrot farm households in Tawangmangu
228 Karanganyar. In: *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. IOP
229 Publishing; 2021. p. 12052.
- 230 14. Laraia BA. Carrots, sticks, or carrot sticks?: using federal food policy to engineer dietary
231 change. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2012;43(4):456–7.
- 232 15. Sangeetha S, Shanthakumar S. Carrot cultivation in India: A critical review. *Shanlax*
233 *International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*. 2016;4(1):66–71.
- 234 16. Silva Jr AAD, Supriana T. Analysis of farmer's revenue and factors which affect carrot
235 production in Surbakti Village. In: *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental*
236 *Science*. IOP Publishing; 2020. p. 12028.
- 237 17. Lee H, Goldstein R, Sumner DA. Demand for Food Attributes: Evidence from a Large
238 Sample of Carrot Buyers. 2020;
- 239 18. Torales EP, Zárate NAH, Moreno LB, Vieira MC, Abrão MS. AGRO-ECONOMIC
240 YIRLD OF CARROT CULTIVATED WITH TYPES AND WAYS OF ADDITION OF
241 CHICKEN MANURE TO THE SOIL. *Revista Agrotecnologia-Agrotec*. 2016;
- 242 19. Lin B-H, Lucier G. Carrot Consumption Varies With Age, Income, and Race. 2008.
- 243 20. Fitria I. Analisis Pendapatan Usahatani Wortel di Desa Suban Ayam Kecamatan Selupu
244 Rejang Kabupaten Rejang Lebong. *Agribisnis Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Dehasen*
245 *Bengkulu*. 2018;
- 246
- 247

248 Table 1. The accuracy of the Multiple Linear Regression Model in Carrot Farming in the
 249 Argoayuningtani Farmer Group, Senden Village, Selo District, Boyolali Regency in 2020.

Model Summary	Nilai
R	0,696
R square	0,484
Adjusted R square	0,361
Std. Error of the Estimate	0,57651
F test	0,011

250

251

252 Table 2. Testing of Variables Affecting Production Factors of Shallot Farming in the
 253 Argoayuningtani Farmer Group, Senden Village, Selo District, Boyolali Regency in 2020.

Variable	Coefficient	T	Sig.
Land area (X1)	-0,550	-0,918	0,369
Labor (X2)	1,481	2,207	0,039
Seed (X3)	0,119	0,440	0,664
Organic Fertilizer (X4)	0,316	1,280	0,214
Fertilizer Za (X5)	-0,372	-1,100	0,284

254

255

256 Table 3. Efficiency Analysis of the Use of Carrot Farming Production Factors in the
 257 Argoayuningtani Farmer Group, Senden Village, Selo District, Boyolali Regency in 2020

Variable	NPMXi	Pxi	$\frac{NPMxi}{Pxi}$	
Land area (X1)	-16.841,30	1.185.000	-0,014	Not efficient
Labor (X2)	351.089,59	75.000	4,68	inefficient
Seed (X3)	3.489.850,04	281.666,67	12,39	inefficient
Organic Fertilizer (X4)	2.867,16	793,33	3,61	inefficient
Fertilizer Za (X5)	-45.994,54	2.263,33	-20,32	Not efficient

258

EFFICIENCY OF PRODUCTION FACTORS USED IN CARROT (Daucus carota) FARMING

ORIGINALITY REPORT

15%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	e-journal.uajy.ac.id Internet Source	1%
2	jos.unsoed.ac.id Internet Source	1%
3	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet Source	1%
4	www.iosrjournals.org Internet Source	1%
5	ijrrjournal.com Internet Source	1%
6	bajangjournal.com Internet Source	1%
7	2021.icsae.id Internet Source	1%
8	scholarsmepub.com Internet Source	1%
9	media.neliti.com Internet Source	1%

10	www.arjhss.com Internet Source	1 %
11	Suswadi, K Prasetyowati, R D Kartikasari, A Prasetyo. "A feasibility study on cultivating shallots (<i>Allium ascalonicum</i> L) in Selo District, Boyolali Regency, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021 Publication	1 %
12	riset.unisma.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
13	ejournal.utp.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
14	Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Student Paper	1 %
15	Submitted to STIE Perbanas Surabaya Student Paper	<1 %
16	e-journal.upr.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
17	jsss.co.id Internet Source	<1 %
18	repository.president.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
19	jurnal.unived.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On